



vendor value ratings

How do you decide which of the many IT vendors out there will provide the most value to your current initiatives? Our annual *CIO Insight* Vendor Value survey gives you all the information you need. See how your peers rate 40 major enterprise technology providers. **By Guy Currier**

WHAT DO YOU VALUE MOST ABOUT YOUR INFORMATION technology vendors? There is no one-size-fits-all answer. Every enterprise has key performance metrics unique to its corporate culture and the industry it serves. However, over the course of more than five years spent examining how enterprises rate the value of their IT vendors, we've identified several universal factors that every organization must take into consideration in its vendor relationships.

In July and August 2010, 684 IT decision-makers at U.S.-based enterprises responded to *CIO Insight's* 2010 Vendor Value survey, designed, fielded and tabulated by Ziff Davis Enterprise Research. Of these respondents, 258 were from companies with at least

50 but fewer than 500 employees; 218 had at least 500 but fewer than 5,000 employees; and 208 had 5,000 employees or more.

We asked respondents to rate the vendors they work with on eight measures. The first four of these are classified as "value" measures:

- helping their customers increase revenue
- helping them lower costs
- ability to solve specific business problems
- delivery of appropriate service or support levels (new this year).

In addition, we asked respondents to rate their vendors on these three "reliability" measures:

- product quality

- delivery on time and within budget
- ability to meet whatever service-level agreements are in place (new this year).

Lastly, we asked respondents: If you had a choice, would you continue to work with this vendor?

For the purposes of this report, results in each category indicate the percent of respondents who rate a vendor as excellent or good in that category. Vendors are also ranked on their overall scores across all categories (see The Big Picture, pages 22-23). Based on those overall results, we see significant movement in the 2010 top-five vendor value rankings. In fact, only one vendor, Red Hat, kept a spot in the top five in both 2010 and 2009. The five firms leading the 2010 rankings in overall value and reliability ratings are:

- WebEx: a Web conferencing and collaboration solutions provider, and a division of Cisco
- Cisco: a networking solutions provider
- NetApp: a storage system and software vendor
- Juniper Networks: a provider of network software, silicon and systems
- Red Hat: an open-source technology solutions provider.

Ratings Reversion

There's a major trend worth noting in this year's study: the return to vendor value score levels of years past after a recession-fueled shift upward last year. In 2009, scores were up for two reasons: With budgets reduced, organizations jettisoned some vendors, keeping their favorites. At the same time, vendors worked harder than ever to please customers in order to keep them (*CIO Insight*, October 2009). As we put it then, "The only sure way for a seller of technology to keep pace on our list was to raise its scores."

This year, enterprises continue to use fewer vendors, but they also have lowered opinions about the ones they're keeping. In 2009, those we surveyed worked

with an average of 11 major vendors. This year, it's only about nine and a half. The average overall vendor value score in 2009 was 73 percent; this year, it's 67 percent, a reversion to the 2008 average score of 66 percent.

That leads us to the following question: Did vendors learn anything at all from the Great Recession? Rather than capitalizing on the ways they found to retain customers during hard times, it appears that they are effectively taking for granted the core customers who stuck with them.

Google could be an example of this: The firm has been so focused on rolling out new products that it has squandered the value gains it made with customers last year. After rising 4 percentage points from 2008 to 2009, this year Google's overall score dropped by 14.

Of course, not all vendors saw a decrease in their ranking. For example, Motorola, Juniper Networks and Salesforce.com jumped up nine, eight and five places in the rankings, respectively, by simply maintaining their ratings levels. +

Top Vendors for ...

... Delivering Required Support

1. Cisco
2. NetApp
3. Trend Micro

... Helping Increase Revenue

1. Juniper Networks
2. WebEx (Cisco)
3. Red Hat

... Lowering Costs

1. WebEx (Cisco)
2. Seagate
3. Red Hat

... Being on Time and on Budget

1. WebEx (Cisco)
2. Juniper Networks
3. CDW

... Meeting SLAs

1. NetApp
2. Cisco
3. WebEx (Cisco)

... Solving Business Problems

1. APC
2. Cisco
3. Seagate

... for Product Quality

1. APC
2. (tie) Cisco, Red Hat, CDW

Source: *CIO Insight/Ziff Davis Enterprise Research*, September 2010

How the Survey Was Done

CIO Insight's 2010 Vendor Value survey measures how U.S.-based organizations generally perceive the value of their IT vendors' product and service offerings, and their overall satisfaction with the support these vendors provide.

To create our initial list of IT vendors for the survey, we relied on the Fortune 500 and Global 500 lists, along with Ziff Davis Enterprise Research's ongoing vendor studies in 21 technology categories. Ziff Davis Enterprise Research's staff designed and fielded the survey, and tabulated responses.

In all, 684 qualified respondents (258 from companies with at least 50 but fewer than 500 employees; 218 with at least

500 but fewer than 5,000; and 208 with 5,000 or more) replied and completed the survey between July 30 and August 24, 2010.

After identifying the vendors with which their enterprise has had a business relationship in the past 12 months, respondents were asked to rate those vendors as "excellent," "good," "fair" or "poor" on seven criteria. The "overall" rating given for each vendor is the average percent of "good" or "excellent" responses for each criterion. As an additional criterion, respondents were asked whether, given a choice, they would continue to use each vendor with which they currently work.

The Big Picture

RANK 2010	RANK 2009	RANK 2008	RANK 2007	VENDOR	Overall Average of individual value and reliability ratings	Value							
						Percent of respondents who rate a vendor as excellent or good							
						Meets expectations for increasing revenue†		Meets expectations for lowering costs		Solves the business problem paid to solve		• Delivers required service/support levels	
	RANK		RANK		RANK		RANK						
1	11	N/A	N/A	WebEx (division of Cisco)	78%	72%	2	82%	1	84%	4	67%	4
2*	6	4	7	Cisco	76	68	6	62	21	88	2	73	1
2*	9	N/A	N/A	NetApp	76	66	10	68	11	83	5	72	2
4	12	11	N/A	Juniper Networks	75	74	1	76	4	83	5	67	4
5	2	7	1	Red Hat	74	70	3	78	3	78	12	56	28
6	14	N/A	N/A	CDW	73	64	12	72	6	83	5	52	33
7*	N/A	N/A	N/A	Seagate	72	66	10	79	2	85	3	58	22
7*	5	N/A	N/A	APC	72	60	20	64	15	91	1	60	17
7*	17	5	2	VeriSign	72	67	8	64	15	80	10	65	8
10*	1	N/A	N/A	Intel	71	68	6	71	7	78	12	60	17
10*	6	7	2	HP	71	63	14	68	11	80	10	62	12
10*	14	5	7	Dell	71	61	17	71	7	77	14	57	26
10*	2	2	2	Google	71	69	4	74	5	74	22	58	22
10*	17	10	7	Citrix	71	63	14	71	7	81	8	61	15
10*	9	9	5	Research In Motion	71	59	22	66	14	81	8	62	12
16	25	18	16	Motorola	70	69	4	64	15	75	19	62	12
17	31	17	11	Trend Micro	69	56	29	63	18	77	14	68	3
18	20	15	17	IBM	68	61	17	60	23	73	24	67	4
19*	31	19	13	EMC	67	63	14	58	26	73	24	67	4
19*	22	14	14	Apple	67	64	12	56	29	74	22	60	17
19*	25	25	21	Verizon Wireless	67	54	33	56	29	73	24	59	21
19*	6	19	18	Sun Microsystems	67	67	8	62	21	71	28	64	9
23*	28	27	N/A	Salesforce.com	66	61	17	63	18	69	31	64	9
23*	25	29	21	Microsoft	66	59	22	55	33	76	17	64	9
25*	22	11	12	Adobe	65	59	22	58	26	76	17	58	22
25*	N/A	N/A	N/A	Netgear	65	57	26	69	10	75	19	47	38
27	20	19	N/A	Lenovo	64	58	25	67	13	70	29	51	35
28*	14	19	15	Symantec	63	49	35	54	35	77	14	60	17
28*	29	11	7	McAfee	63	56	29	60	23	72	27	53	31
28*	N/A	N/A	N/A	Polycom	63	57	26	63	18	75	19	52	33
31	N/A	31	33	Quest Communications	62	57	26	57	28	69	31	57	26
32*	35	33	29	Oracle (including PeopleSoft and Siebel)	61	60	20	49	38	67	34	61	15
32*	38	25	29	Nortel Networks	61	53	34	56	29	68	33	58	22
34*	39	34	19	SAP	60	56	29	54	35	70	29	55	30
34*	37	19	31	Sprint Nextel	60	55	32	60	23	63	37	50	37
36	19	27	27	Avaya	59	45	40	56	29	65	35	56	28
37	31	40	33	Verizon Communications	57	47	38	55	33	64	36	51	35
38	29	19	26	Novell	55	48	37	53	37	59	40	53	31
39	40	37	35	CA	54	49	35	49	38	61	38	47	38
40	36	37	37	AT&T	51	47	38	42	40	60	39	46	40

* Tie
 • New ratings for 2010
 † Or for achieving mission, if a not-for-profit organization
 ‡ In what capacity respondents said they worked with each vendor

Reliability						Loyalty		Nature of Relationship†						Worked with during the past 12 months	no. of respondents	RANK 2009
percent of respondents who rate a vendor as excellent or good						If I had a choice, I would continue to do business with		■ 10-24% ■■ 25-49% ■■■ 50-74% ■■■■ 75-100%								
Meets commitments on time and on budget		• Meets service-level or responsiveness needs		Meets quality expectations						Consultant	Outsourcer	Telecom	Hardware	Software	Security	
	RANK		RANK		RANK		RANK									
81%	1	80%	3	82%	8	95%	3			■■		■■■		14%	96	1
74	5	81	2	86	2	94	5	■		■	■■■■	■■	■■	51	350	2
74	5	82	1	84	6	92	8	■	■		■■■■	■■■		9	63	2
76	2	75	8	78	18	92	8	■		■	■■■	■■	■■	11	76	4
74	5	77	6	86	2	91	14					■■■■		16	108	5
75	3	78	4	86	2	92	3	■■			■■■■	■■■	■	23	158	6
72	11	62	31	83	7	95	3				■■■■	■		8	55	7
70	12	70	15	90	1	100	1				■■■■	■		15	103	7
73	10	74	11	80	12	92	8					■■	■■■	16	112	7
69	14	68	20	86	2	97	2				■■■■	■		21	146	10
74	5	70	15	82	8	92	8	■			■■■■	■■		45	307	10
75	3	76	7	80	12	92	8	■			■■■■	■		54	366	10
74	5	69	19	79	14	94	5		■		■	■■■		20	134	10
68	18	74	11	79	14	91	14			■	■	■■■■	■	23	155	10
69	14	75	8	82	8	90	18			■■	■■■■	■■■		21	146	10
67	21	75	8	78	18	89	21	■		■■	■■■■	■		8	56	16
69	14	73	13	79	14	91	14					■■■■	■■	11	74	17
68	18	71	14	77	21	86	24	■■	■		■■■■	■■■	■	34	235	18
67	21	70	15	72	27	88	22	■			■■■■	■■■		19	128	19
70	12	66	25	79	14	87	23				■■■■	■■■		20	134	19
68	18	78	4	81	11	91	14			■■■■	■	■		26	181	19
65	24	67	21	71	28	82	32				■■■	■■■		19	130	19
61	33	70	15	75	23	84	29	■	■■			■■■■		8	57	23
65	24	67	21	75	23	90	18	■			■	■■■■	■	72	491	23
65	24	61	35	77	21	93	7					■■■■		38	257	25
69	14	61	35	75	23	85	27				■■■■	■		9	61	25
64	28	67	21	70	30	86	24				■■■■	■		16	112	27
65	24	67	21	71	28	85	27					■■■■	■■	31	210	28
64	28	65	27	73	26	86	24					■■■	■■	26	175	28
57	36	60	37	78	18	90	18			■	■■■■	■		12	84	28
63	30	63	29	69	31	78	36			■■■		■		8	54	31
59	34	64	28	69	31	83	31	■			■	■■■■		27	182	32
63	30	63	29	65	34	67	40			■■	■■■	■■		8	54	32
62	32	62	31	62	37	79	34	■■	■			■■■■		13	87	34
66	23	62	31	63	36	84	29			■■■■	■■			12	83	34
56	37	66	25	66	33	79	34	■		■■	■■■	■■		13	87	36
56	37	62	31	64	35	81	33			■■■■	■	■		17	117	37
55	39	54	39	59	39	74	39	■			■	■■■■	■	10	66	38
59	34	56	38	61	38	77	37					■■■■	■■	9	62	39
53	40	51	40	56	40	75	38		■	■■■■	■	■		32	222	40

Networking

Cisco and Juniper's consistently high scores somewhat overshadow two good debut performances from Netgear and Polycom, with the latter's loyalty score rivaling that of any top-tier vendor. Avaya has frequently been challenged in our survey, and this year has reverted to its accustomed position behind much-doubted Nortel.

RANK 2010	RANK 2009	RANK 2008	RANK 2007	RANK 2006	Vendor	Average of all 2010 ratings	Value	Reliability	Prefer to stay with vendor (% yes)
1	2	1	1	1	Cisco	76%	72%	81%	94%
2	3	2	-	-	Juniper Networks	75	75	76	92
3	-	-	-	-	Netgear	65	62	68	85
4	-	-	-	-	Polycom	63	61	65	90
5	7	5	4	3	Nortel Networks	61	59	64	67
6	4	6	3	4	Avaya	59	55	63	79
7	6	7	5	5	Verizon Communications	57	54	61	81
AVERAGE 2009						65	63	68	84
AVERAGE 2008						73	72	73	86
AVERAGE 2007						66	65	68	84
AVERAGE 2006						65	64	67	85
AVERAGE 2006						65	64	66	81

Source: CIO Insight/Ziff Davis Enterprise Research, September 2010

Hardware

The average scores in this category easily outstrip those of any other category, even when including the more challenged EMC and Sun Microsystems. As we've noted before, aggressive moves with service offerings and numerous acquisitions to round out product lines have bred excellence, particularly in the quality of the offerings and the reliability of delivery and service. NetApp's performance deserves recognition, but so does HP's consistency through numerous changes.

RANK 2010	RANK 2009	RANK 2008	RANK 2007	RANK 2006	Vendor	Average of all ratings 2010	Value	Reliability	Prefer to stay with vendor (% yes)
1	3	-	-	-	NetApp	76%	72%	80%	92%
2	1	2	1	1	HP	71	68	75	92
3	5	1	2	2	Dell	71	66	77	92
4	6	3	4	4	IBM	68	65	72	86
5	7	4	3	3	EMC	67	65	70	88
6	2	4	5	5	Sun Microsystems	67	66	68	82
AVERAGE 2010						70	67	74	89
AVERAGE 2009						76	76	77	88
AVERAGE 2008						65	64	65	83
AVERAGE 2007						70	69	72	88
AVERAGE 2006						68	66	70	87

Source: CIO Insight/Ziff Davis Enterprise Research, September 2010

Software

Novell has continued its smooth slide down the rankings, while most other vendors (with the notable exceptions of Google and Adobe) have remained stable. Last year we said that the software vendors “may show more shifts [in 2010], after the upcoming Windows 7 release and an expected round of hardware upgrades have their effect.” This didn’t happen at all; it’s hard to see how anyone but Microsoft itself could have been affected, and Microsoft has stayed quite solidly in the middle rankings going back several years.

RANK 2010	RANK 2009	RANK 2008	RANK 2007	RANK 2006	Vendor	Average of all 2010 ratings	Value	Reliability	Prefer to stay with vendor (% yes)
1	3	-	-	-	WebEx (Cisco)	78%	76%	81%	95%
2	1	2	1	1	Red Hat	74%	71%	79%	91%
3	1	1	2	-	Google	71%	69%	74%	94%
4	4	3	3	2	Citrix	71%	69%	73%	91%
5	7	6	-	-	Salesforce.com	66%	64%	69%	84%
6	6	7	6	4	Microsoft	66%	63%	69%	90%
7	5	4	4	-	Adobe	65%	63%	68%	93%
8	9	8	8	6	Oracle (including PeopleSoft)	61%	59%	64%	83%
9	10	9	5	5	SAP	60%	59%	62%	79%
10	8	5	7	3	Novell	55%	54%	56%	74%
11	11	10	9	7	CA	54%	51%	58%	77%
AVERAGE 2010						66%	63%	68%	87%
AVERAGE 2009						70%	69%	71%	85%
AVERAGE 2008						61%	60%	61%	81%
AVERAGE 2007						64%	63%	64%	83%
AVERAGE 2006						60%	60%	60%	77%

Source: CIO Insight/Ziff Davis Enterprise Research, September 2010

Security

VeriSign continues to show its strength in this market, while Trend Micro is clearly taking advantage of uncertainty in Symantec and McAfee’s positions. (Intel’s purchase of McAfee occurred after most fielding of this study was completed.)

RANK 2010	RANK 2009	RANK 2008	RANK 2007	RANK 2006	Vendor	Average of all 2010 ratings	Value	Reliability	Prefer to stay with vendor (% yes)
1	2	1	1	2	VeriSign	72%	69%	76%	92%
2	4	3	3	1	Trend Micro	69%	66%	74%	91%
3	1	4	4	3	Symantec	63%	60%	68%	85%
4	3	2	2	2	McAfee	63%	60%	67%	86%
AVERAGE 2010						67%	64%	71%	88%
AVERAGE 2009						71%	70%	72%	87%
AVERAGE 2008						74%	73%	75%	88%
AVERAGE 2007						75%	75%	77%	91%
AVERAGE 2006						71%	70%	72%	87%

Source: CIO Insight/Ziff Davis Enterprise Research, September 2010

Telecommunications

Verizon Wireless remains the performer in this group. After some brief excitement last year, AT&T's service woes have pushed it back down to its more typical position—in fact, it is the lowest-scoring vendor in this year's study. This category continues to grow in importance as mobility initiatives progress throughout business, so it's unfortunate that most of the major vendors don't seem to deliver when it counts.

RANK 2010	RANK 2009	RANK 2008	RANK 2007	RANK 2006	Vendor	Average of all 2010 ratings	Value	Reliability	Prefer to stay with vendor (% yes)
1	1	2	1	1	Verizon Wireless	67%	61%	75%	91%
2	-	3	3	4	Quest Communications	62	60	65	78
3	3	1	2	2	Sprint Nextel	60	57	64	84
4	2	4	4	3	AT&T	51	49	53	75
AVERAGE 2010						60	57	64	82
AVERAGE 2009						64	63	65	79
AVERAGE 2008						57	57	57	76
AVERAGE 2007						57	57	57	77
AVERAGE 2006						55	56	54	72

Source: CIO Insight/Ziff Davis Enterprise Research, September 2010

Vendors That Changed the Most 2009–2010

In a year when most vendor ratings fell, those few whose overall score increased by more than a percentage point were top gainers. But what's interesting is the topsy-turvy nature of the ratings, with largely low-ranking vendors improving the most, and largely high-ranking ones dropping. EMC and Trend Micro returned to form after a brief dip last year, while normally strong-scoring Google, Intel and Sun Microsystems took real hits to their reputations—the last, no doubt, because of uncertainty over its acquisition by Oracle.

5 That Rose Most	Average of all 2010 ratings	Change from 2009	Average of all 2009 ratings	Change in ratings 2009–2010		Category of greatest gain	
VENDOR				VALUE	RELIABILITY		
SAP	60%	+7%	53%	+8%	+7%	solves business problem	+10%
CA	54	+5	49	+2	+11	quality	+14
Nortel Networks	61	+5	56	+3	+8	helps with revenue	+9
Trend Micro	69	+4	65	+2	+8	quality	+12
EMC	67	+2	65	+2	+3	quality	+3

5 That Fell Most	Average of all 2010 ratings	Change from 2009	Average of all 2009 ratings	Change in ratings 2009–2010		Category of greatest loss	
VENDOR				VALUE	RELIABILITY		
Avaya	59%	-16%	75%	-17%	-16%	helps with revenue	-31%
Intel	71	-15	86	-16	-13	on time/budget	-19
Google	71	-14	85	-16	-12	delivers required support	N/A*
Sun Microsystems	67	-14	81	-14	-14	on time/budget	-17
Symantec	63	-14	77	-17	-10	helps with revenue	-28

Figures may appear not to add up due to rounding.

*Google's drop in overall score is largely due to this rating, which is new in this year's study.

Source: CIO Insight/Ziff Davis Enterprise Research, September 2010